

**Submission for OMB Review;
Comment Request**

DOC has submitted to OMB for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 Public Law 104-13.

Agency: Bureau of Economic Analysis.

Title: Institutional Remittances to Foreign Countries.

Agency Form Number: BE-40.

OMB Number: 0608-0002.

Type of Review: Reinstatement of a previously approved collection.

Burden: 1,212 reporting hours.

Number of Respondents: 382 respondents.

Avg. Hours Per Response: 1.5 hours.

Needs and Uses: The survey is required in order to obtain comprehensive initial data concerning the transfer (gifts, grants, donations, etc.) by private nonprofit U.S. institutions to foreign countries. The data are needed primarily to compile the U.S. international accounts.

Affected Public: Non-profit Institutions.

Frequency: Quarterly for institutions transferring \$1 million or more each year, annually for all others.

Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary.

OMB Desk Officer: Paul Bugg, (202) 395-7340.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Acting DOC Forms Clearance Officer, Linda Engelmeier, (202) 482-3272, Department of Commerce, Room 5327, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230.

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent to Paul Bugg, OMB Desk Officer, Room 10201, New Executive Office Building, Washington, D.C. 20503.

Dated: January 24, 1997.

Linda Engelmeier,

Acting Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Office of Management and Organization.

[FR Doc. 97-2354 Filed 1-30-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE: 3510-EA-P

International Trade Administration

[A-201-504]

Porcelain-on-Steel Cookware From Mexico: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of preliminary results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: In response to a request by respondents, Cinsa, S.A. de C.V. ("Cinsa") and Esmaltaciones de Norte America, S.A. de C.V. ("ENASA"), the Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on porcelain-on-steel cookware from Mexico. This review covers the above manufacturers/exporters of the subject merchandise to the United States. The period of review (POR) is December 1, 1994, through November 30, 1995. This is the ninth period of review.

We preliminarily determine that sales have been made below normal value (NV). If these preliminary results are adopted in our final results of administrative review, we will instruct U.S. Customs to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries.

Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results. Parties who submit arguments in this proceeding should also submit with the argument: (1) a statement of the issue, and (2) a brief summary of the argument.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 31, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dolores Peck or Kate Johnson, AD/CVD Enforcement Group II, Import Administration—Room B099, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4929.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**The Applicable Statute**

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act), by the Uruguay Rounds Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the current regulations, as amended by the interim regulations published in the Federal Register on May 11, 1995 (60 FR 25130).

Background

On October 10, 1986, the Department published in the Federal Register (51 FR 36435) the final affirmative antidumping duty determination on certain porcelain-on-steel cookware from Mexico. We published an antidumping duty order on December 2,

1986 (51 FR 43415). On December 4, 1995, the Department published the Opportunity to Request an Administrative Review of this order for the period December 1, 1994, through November 30, 1995 (60 FR 62071). The Department received a request for an administrative review of exports from Cinsa and ENASA, affiliated producers/exporters of the subject merchandise, and from General Housewares Corporation, the petitioner. We published a notice of initiation of the review on February 1, 1996 (61 FR 3670).

Under section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department may extend the deadline for completion of an administrative review if it determines that it is not practicable to complete the review within the statutory time limit of 365 days. On August 6, 1996, the Department extended the time limit for the preliminary results in this case. See Extension of Time Limit for Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 61 FR 40819 (August 6, 1996).

The Department is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act.

Scope of the Review

Imports covered by this review are shipments of porcelain-on-steel cookware, including tea kettles, which do not have self-contained electric heating elements. All of the foregoing are constructed of steel and are enameled or glazed with vitreous glasses. This merchandise is currently classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheading 7323.94.00. Kitchenware currently entering under HTSUS subheading 7323.94.00.30 is not subject to the order. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and Customs purposes, our written description of the scope of this proceeding is dispositive.

Transactions Reviewed

In accordance with section 751(a)(2) of the Act, the Department is required to determine the EP (or CEP) and NV of each entry of subject merchandise.

In determining NV, based on a review of respondents' submissions, the Department determined that ENASA should report all sales of heavy gauge (HG) cookware in conjunction with a promotion agreement signed during the POR because the Department determined that the sales in question occurred during the POR. See Memorandum For Louis Apple From The Team, dated December 16, 1996 ("Issues Memorandum").